AGRICULTURAL AND FOOD CHEMISTRY

Comparison of Antioxidative Properties of Carbazole Alkaloids from *Murraya koenigii* Leaves

Yukari Tachibana,[†] Hiroe Kikuzaki,[‡] Nordin Hj. Lajis,[§] and Nobuji Nakatani*,[‡]

Department of Home Economics, Kenmei Women's Junior College, Honmachi, Himeji, Hyogo 670-0012, Japan; Food Chemistry, Graduate School of Human Life Science, Osaka City University, Sumiyoshi, Osaka 558-8585, Japan; and Laboratory of Phytomedicines, Institute of Bioscience, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400 UPM Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia

A new dimeric carbazole alkaloid, 8,10'-[3,3',11,11'-tetrahydro-9,9'-dihydroxy-3,3',5,8'-tetramethyl-3,3'-bis(4-methyl-3-pentenyl)]bipyrano[3,2-*a*]carbazole (**12**), was isolated from the CH₂Cl₂ extract of *Murraya koenigii* together with six known carbazole alkaloids, koenimbine (**6**), *O*-methylmurrayamine A (**7**), *O*-methylmahanine (**8**), isomahanine (**9**), bismahanine (**10**), and bispyrayafoline (**11**). Their structures were determined on the basis of ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopic and mass spectrometric (MS) data. The antioxidative properties of 12 carbazole alkaloids isolated from leaves of *M. koenigii* were evaluated on the basis of the oil stability index together with their radical scavenging ability against 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radical. On the basis of the lag time to reach a steady state, the 12 carbazoles were classified into three groups. It is suggested that an aryl hydroxyl substituent on the carbazole rings plays a role in stabilizing the thermal oxidation and rate of reaction against DPPH radical.

KEYWORDS: Carbazole; *Murraya koenigii*; curry leaf; antioxidant; oil stability index (OSI); 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radical

INTRODUCTION

The plant Murraya koenigii (L.) Spreng., belonging to the family Rutaceae, is native to India and now distributed in most of southern Asia. The leaves of this plant, called curry leaves, are commonly used for flavoring southern Asian dishes. Murraya species have also been used in traditional medicine in eastern Asia (1). Previous studies on Murraya species include reports of coumarins (2), terpenoids (3), and many investigations on carbazole alkaloids (4-10). Recently, several biological activities have been reported for carbazole alkaloids. Bioactive coumarins, acridone alkaloids, and carbazole alkaloids from the family Rutaceae were reviewed by Ito (7). Wu et al. (8) have reported the evaluation for antiplatelet activity and vasorelaxing effects of carbazole alkaloids from M. euchrestifolia. Ramsewak et al. (9) reported antioxidant activity and other biological activities of mahanimbine, murrayanol, and mahanine isolated from M. koenigii. Activities of carbazoles from M. koenigii against Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria and fungi were reported by Chowdhuri et al. (10).

Previously we established the model oil system for the determination of antioxidative activity under high-temperature

conditions by the oil stability index (OSI) method (11). We found that the CH₂Cl₂ extract of *M. koenigii* showed antioxidative activity, on the basis of OSI values, and reported the isolation of five carbazole alkaloids, euchrestine B (1), bismurrayafoline (2), mahanine (3), mahaninebicine (4), and mahaninebine (5), from the CH₂Cl₂ extract and the strong antioxidative activities of compounds 1-3 (12). This investigation was designed to isolate and identify additional carbazole alkaloids from the leaves of *M. koenigii* and to evaluate the antioxidant activity of 12 carbazoles by measuring OSI values and 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radical scavenging activity.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials. Methyl linoleate (95% grade) was obtained from Tokyo Kasei Kogyo Co., Ltd. (Tokyo, Japan). Ascorbic acid, α -tocopherol, BHT, DPPH, acetone, benzene, chloroform, EtOAc, hexane, CH₂Cl₂, and methanol (MeOH) were purchased from Wako Pure Chemical Industries Ltd. (Osaka, Japan). Silicone oil (TSF451-100) was purchased from Toshiba Silicone Co. Ltd. (Tokyo, Japan).

General Experimental Procedures. The ¹H, ¹³C, and 2D NMR spectra were obtained with a Varian Unity 500 (500 MHz) spectrometer using TMS as internal standard (Varian Inc., Palo Alto, CA). EI and HR EIMS were measured at 70 eV on a Hitachi M 2000 mass spectrometer (Hitachi Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). Specific rotations were measured on a Jasco (Tokyo, Japan) P1030 polarimeter. UV spectra were recorded on a UV-2500PC UV–vis spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan). Preparative high-performance liquid chromatography

^{*} Author to whom correspondence should be addressed (telephone +81-6-6605-2812; fax +81-6-6605-3086; e-mail nakatani@life.osaka-cu.ac.jp).

[†] Kenmei Women's Junior College.

[‡] Osaka City University.

[§] Universiti Putra Malaysia.

(HPLC) was performed with a Hitachi L-6250 intelligent pump equipped with an L-4200 UV—vis detector. Preparative reversed phase HPLC was done with a 250 \times 20 mm Nomura C-18 column. Silica gel 60, 70–230 mesh (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany), Sephadex LH-20 (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech AB, Uppsala, Sweden), and Chromatorex DM1020T octadecyl silica gel (ODS) (Fuji Sylisia Chemical Ltd., Kasugai, Japan) were used for column chromatography, and silica gel 60 F₂₅₄ plates (Merck) were used for TLC. An oxidative stability instrument (Omnion Inc., Rockland, MA) attached to an IBMcompatible computer was used for the OSI measurement. A Wallac 1420 multilabel counter (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech AB) was employed as a microplate reader for measuring DPPH radical scavenging activity.

Plant Material. Leaves of *M. koenigii* were collected in Selangor, Malaysia, in January 1998. A voucher specimen (no. 06367) was deposited at the herbarium of Universiti Putra Malaysia, Selangor, Malaysia.

Extraction and Isolation. Air-dried and ground leaves (400 g) of M. koenigii were successively extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 2 L) and 70% aqueous acetone (5 \times 2 L) at room temperature. For each extraction, the plant material was soaked in the solvent and allowed to stand overnight. The combined CH₂Cl₂ solutions were evaporated in vacuo to give the crude CH₂Cl₂ extract (31.8 g). The 70% aqueous acetone layer was concentrated in vacuo, and the resulting aqueous solution was partitioned with EtOAc to give the EtOAc soluble fraction (9.3 g) and water soluble fraction (53.6 g). The CH_2Cl_2 extract (12.0 g) was chromatographed over silica gel (200 g) and eluted with a mixture solution of CH₂Cl₂ and acetone of increasing polarity to give 10 fractions. Fraction 3 (0.7 g) eluted with CH₂Cl₂ was rechromatographed on a silica gel column using n-hexane/CH2Cl2 (6:4) as an eluent to give 11 fractions. Compound 6 (1.4 mg), compound 7 (0.6 mg), and compound 8 (3.5 mg) were separated from the fifth fraction of fraction 3 by preparative HPLC with a reversed phase C-18 column, using a mixture of methanol and water (98:2) at a flow rate of 5 mL/ min and monitored with a UV detector at 280 nm. Fraction 6 (0.5 g), eluted with CH2Cl2, was rechromatographed on Sephadex LH-20 (acetone) to give 10 fractions from which (fraction 6-10) compound 9 (101.0 mg) was obtained. Fraction 6-5 was chromatographed on a silica gel column eluted with hexane/Ac (75:25) to give compound 11 (13.8 mg). Fraction 7 (1.3 g) was rechromatographed on a Sephadex LH-20 column using acetone as an eluent to give eight fractions. Repeated column chromatography over silica gel and ODS gel gave compound 10 (10.5 mg). Fraction 8 (1.03 g) was subjected to Sephadex LH-20 column chromatography using acetone as an eluent to give seven fractions. Fraction 8-5 was rechromatographed on ODS columns to give 15 fractions. Fraction 8-5-10 was rechromatographed on a preparative HPLC with 95% MeOH as an eluent by a flow rate of 5 mL/min to afford compound 12 (10.5 mg).

The structures were identified as koenimbine (6), *O*-methylmurrayamine A (7), *O*-methylmahanine (8), isomahanine (9), bismahane (10), bispyrayafoline (11), and 8,10'-[3,3',11,11'-tetrahydro-9,9'-dihydroxy-3,3',5,8'-tetramethyl-3,3'-bis(4-methyl-3-pentenyl)]-bipyrano[3,2*a*]carbazole (12) on the basis of their ¹H and ¹³C NMR and massspectrometric data. The structural formulas of compounds 1–12 areshown in**Figure 1**.

Compound **6**: UV λ_{max} (MeOH) nm (log ϵ) 230.4 (4.37), 236.8 (4.38), 296.2 (4.18), 335.6 (3.65), 359.6 (3.52); ¹³C NMR δ 16.1 (5-CH₃), 27.6 (3-CH₃), 56.1 (OCH₃), 75.9 (C3), 102.6 (C7), 104.5 (C11b), 111.0 (C10), 113.1 (C9), 117.2 (C1), 117.2 (C6a), 118.4 (C5), 121.1 (C6), 124.5 (C7a), 129.3 (C2), 134.3 (C10a), 135.7 (C11a), 149.9 (C4a), 154.0 (C8); EIMS 70 eV, *m*/*z* (rel intensity) 293 [M]⁺ (70), 278 (82); C₁₉H₁₉NO₂.

Compound 7: UV λ_{max} (MeOH) nm (log ϵ) 221.2 (4.87), 240.4 (4.95), 282.6 (4.65 sh), 293.6 (4.82), 325.0 (4.25), 341.2 (4.22 sh), 356.6 (4.13 sh); ¹³C NMR δ 16.1 (5-CH₃), 27.6 (3-CH₃), 55.7 (OCH₃), 75.7 (C3), 95.2 (C10), 104.2 (C11b), 107.7 (C8), 117.2 (C1), 117.8 (C6a), 117.8 (C7a), 118.4 (C5), 119.9 (C7), 120.4 (C6), 129.6 (C2), 134.7 (C11a), 140.6 (C10a), 148.9 (C4a), 158.0 (C9); EIMS 70 eV, *m*/*z* (rel intensity) 293 [M]⁺ (54), 278 (100), 263 (12), 235 (9), 234 (6); C₁₉H₁₉NO₂.

Compound 8: UV λ_{max} (MeOH) nm (log ϵ) 221.2 (4.23), 241.2 (4.31), 246.6 (4.29, sh), 284.6 (4.05 sh), 294.6 (4.20), 326.0 (3.57), 331.2

(3.58), 343.0 (3.59), 357.8 (3.50 sh); ¹H NMR δ 1.44 (3H, s, 3-CH₃), 1.58 (3H, br s, 4'-CH₃), 1.66 (3H, br s, 4'-CH₃), 1.72–1.78 (2H, m, H-1'), 2.11–2.20 (2H, m, H-2'), 2.32 (3H, br s, 5-CH₃), 3.88 (3H, s, 9-OCH₃), 5.11 (1H, m, H-3'), 5.65 (1H, d, J = 9.8 Hz, H-2), 6.62 (1H, d, J = 9.8 Hz, H-1), 6.80 (1H, dd, J = 2.2, 8.5 Hz, H-8), 6.89 (1H, d, J = 2.2 Hz, H-10), 7.56 (1H, br s, H-6), 7.76 (1H, d, J = 8.5 Hz, H-7), 7.73 (1H, br s, NH); ¹³C NMR δ 16.0 (5-CH₃), 17.6 (4'-CH₃), 22.8 (C2'), 25.7 (4'-CH₃), 25.8 (3-CH₃), 40.7 (C1'), 55.7 (OCH₃), 78.0 (C3), 95.2 (C10), 104.3 (C11b), 107.6 (C8), 116.7 (C6a), 117.5 (C1), 117.9 (C7a), 118.3 (C5), 119.9 (C7), 120.5 (C6), 124.2 (C3'), 128.7 (C2), 131.7 (C4'), 134.7 (C11a), 140.7 (C10a), 148.9 (C4a), 157.9 (C9); EIMS 70 eV, *m*/*z* (rel intensity) 361 [M]⁺ (44), 346 (8), 278 (100); C₂₄H₂₇NO₂; [α]_D²⁵ +3.0 (*c* 0.10, CHCl₃).

Compound 9: UV λ_{max} (MeOH) nm (log ϵ) 222.2 (4.39), 238.8 (4.43), 286.0 (4.13, sh), 296.2 (4.32), 321.6 (3.80), 351.2 (3.59); $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ –38.2 (*c* 0.22, CHCl₃).

Compound 10: UV λ_{max} (MeOH) nm (log ϵ) 224.0 (4.75), 245.4 (4.78), 298.4 (4.69), 331.0 (4.20), 341.8 (4.18 sh), 359.2 (4.05 sh); ¹³C NMR δ 16.1 (5-CH₃, 5'-CH₃), 17.6 (4"-CH₃, 4"'-CH₃), 22.7 (C2", C2"'), 25.7 (4"-CH₃, 4"'-CH₃), 25.8 (3-CH₃, 3'-CH₃), 40.6 (C1"'), 40.7 (C1"), 78.0 (C3'), 78.2 (C3), 98.1 (C10), 104.5 (C11b, C11b'), 104.8 (C10'), 108.6 (C8'), 110.2 (C8), 116.2 (C6a), 117.1 (C6a'), 117.4 (C1), 117.6 (C1'), 118.0 (C7a'), 118.7 (C5'), 118.9 (C5), 119.1 (C7a), 120.5 (C6', C7'), 120.6 (C6, C7), 124.1 (C3", C3"''), 128.6 (C2'), 129.0 (C2), 131.7 (C4", C4"''), 149.1 (C4a'), 149.6 (C4a), 151.4 (C9'), 151.8 (C9); EIMS 70 eV, *m*/*z* (rel intensity) 692 [M]⁺ (100), 609 (48), 558 (30), 475 (23), 347 (55), 263 (53); C₄₆H₄₈N₂O₄; [α]_D²⁵+4.0 (*c* 0.65, CHCl₃).

Compound 11: UV λ_{max} (MeOH) nm (log ϵ) 224.2 (4.90), 238.4 (4.87), 297.2 (4.87), 332.4 (4.29); ¹³C NMR δ 16.7 (8-CH₃, 8'-CH₃), 17.6 (4"-CH₃, 4"'-CH₃), 22.6 (C2", C2"'), 25.7 (4"-CH₃, 4"'-CH₃), 25.9 (3-CH₃, 3'-CH₃), 40.8 (C1", C1"'), 78.2 (C3, C3'), 99.0 (C10, C10'), 105.0 (C11b, C11b'), 109.9 (C5, C5'), 117.4 (C1, C1'), 117.7 (C6a, C6a'), 117.7 (C7a, C7a'), 117.9 (C8, C8'), 119.5 (C6, C6'), 122.3 (C7, C7'), 124.0 (C3", C3"'), 128.8 (C2, C2'), 131.7 (C4", C4"'), 136.0 (C11a, C11a'), 137.8 (C10a, C10a'), 150.3 (C9, C9'), 151.1 (C4a, C4a'); EIMS 70 eV, *m*/*z* (rel intensity) 692 [M]⁺ (100); HR-EIMS 70 eV, found *m*/*z* 692.3611 [M]⁺, C₄₈H₅₆N₂O₄ requires 692.3614; C₄₆H₄₈N₂O₄; [α]_D²⁵ +22.2 (*c* 0.09, CHCl₃).

Compound **12**: UV λ_{max} (MeOH) nm (log ϵ) 224.0 (4.84), 243.4 (4.85), 298.4 (4.76), 327.4 (4.30); ¹³C NMR δ 16.0 (5-CH₃), 16.7 (8'-CH₃), 17.6 (4''-CH₃, 4'''-CH₃), 22.7 (C2'', C2'''), 25.7 (4''-CH₃, 4'''-CH₃), 25.8 (3-CH₃), 25.9 (3'-CH₃), 40.7 (C1'', C1'''), 78.2 (C3, C3'), 98.0 (C10), 104.1 (C10'), 104.5 (C11b), 104.8 (C11b'), 109.6 (C5'), 110.2 (C8), 116.2 (C6a), 116.8 (C7a'), 117.9 (C6a'), 117.4 (C1), 117.5 (C1'), 117.5 (C8'), 118.9 (C5), 119.2 (C7a), 119.5 (C6'), 120.6 (C6), 121.4 (C7'), 121.7 (C7), 124.1 (C3'', C3'''), 128.7 (C2'), 129.1 (C2), 131.8 (C4'', C4'''), 135.0 (C11a), 136.0 (C11a'), 139.2 (C10a'), 141.6 (C10a), 149.6 (C4a), 150.9 (C4a'), 150.0 (C9'), 151.9 (C9); EIMS 70 eV, found *m*/*z* 692.3623 [M]⁺, C₄₈H₅₆N₂O₄ requires 692.3614; [α]_D²⁵ +55.0 (*c* 0.12, CHCl₃).

Evaluation of OSI. The OSI is defined as the point of maximum change of the rate of oxidation of fats and oils under high temperature (11, 13). A stream of air was bubbled into 5 g of oil contained in a reaction tube placed in an electric heating chamber. The effluent air that contained volatile organic acids generated from the oil was collected in another tube containing distilled water (50 mL). The conductivity of the water as oxidation proceeded was measured automatically. The air flow rate was set at 2.5 mL/s for all determinations.

Methyl linoleate (10%) in silicone oil was used as a model substrate oil for measuring OSI values with and without samples. The test samples (5 μ mol) were dissolved in 500 μ L of methanol. A portion of these solutions (100 μ L) was added to the 5 g of model substrate oil (final concentration = 0.2 μ mol/g of model substrate oil).

The mixtures were shaken on a vortex mixer for 30 s under nitrogen. These were then preheated for 30 min without linking the conductivity measurement tubes. Then the reaction tubes were connected to the conductivity measurement tubes, and the OSI values were measured at 110 °C. Three replicates of OSI measurements were obtained on all samples (11). The OSI ratio was calculated according to the following equation:



Figure 1. Structures of carbazole alkaloids isolated from leaves of *M. koenigii*.

OSI ratio = OSI value of the model oil with sample \div OSI value of the model oil without sample

Evaluation of DPPH Radical Scavenging Activity. The scavenging activity of compounds isolated from the CH₂Cl₂ extract on DPPH radicals was measured according to the method of Brand-Williams et al. (14) with some modifications (15). To a well in a 96 well flatbottom microplate was added 75 μ L of an ethanolic solution of sample and 75 μ L of a 200 μ M ethanolic solution of DPPH (final concentration = 100 μ M). Samples were prepared in triplicate for each concentration used (final concentrations were 0.1, 1, 3.2, 10, 31.5, and 100 μ M). Ethanolic solution without samples (75 μ L) was added as control. The absorbances of each reaction mixture were measured at 520 nm against a blank of ethanol without DPPH after 1 and 30 min and 1, 2, 3, 5, 7,

24, 72, and 99 h. All analyses were run in triplicate. The DPPH radical concentration in the reaction mixture was calculated according to the calibration curve determined by linear regression:

absorbance at 520 nm = $3.5 \times 10^{-3} \times$ [concentration of DPPH radical (μ M)] - (8 × 10⁻⁴)

The percentage of the remaining DPPH radical at each reaction time was calculated as follows:

remaining DPPH radical (%) = [concentration of DPPH radical with samples at each reaction time ÷ concentration of DPPH radical without samples at each reaction time] × 100

Table 1. ¹ H NMR Assignment for Compounds 10	-1	1	I	ú	1	1	1	1	i	l	1					-).))	l	l	(1	l		l	l	l	l	l	l	l	l	1	1	1	l	l	l	1	1	l	1	l	1	((1	1	1	1	1	(((1	l	1	(((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((l	l	l	l	(((l	l	((((((1	1	l	1	l					l	1	1	1	1	1	1	•			,	ò	5		1	ί	(l	1	ľ	ľ	l	l	J	ί	ι	l
---	----	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	--	--	--	--	---	----	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	--	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	--	--	--	--	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	--	--	---	---	---	--	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

	10	11	12
H-1 (1′)	6.64 (1H, d, 9.8), 6.47 (1H, d, 9.8)	6.44 (2H, d, 9.7)	6.68 (1H, d, 9.8), 6.49 (1H, d, 9.8)
H-2 (2')	5.69 (1H, d, 9.8), 5.54 (1H, d, 9.8)	5.55 (2H, d, 9.7)	5.71 (1H, d, 9.8), 5.56 (1H, d, 9.8)
H-5 (5′)		6.74 (2H, d, 8.3)	-, 6.71 (1H, d, 8.3)
H-6 (6′)	7.53 (1H, br s), 7.60 (1H, br s)	7.72 (2H, d, 8.3)	7.54 (1H, s) 7.70 (1H, d, 8.3)
H-7 (7')	7.88 (1H, br s), 7.83 (1H, d, 8.3)	7.85 (2H, br s)	7.88 (1H, s), 7.76 (1H, s)
H-8 (8')	-, 6.94 (1H, d, 8.3)		
H-10 (10')	7.11 (1H, br s)		7.17 (1H, s), –
Ar-CH ₃	2.31 (3H, br s), 2.33 (3H, br s)	2.50 (6H, br s)	2.31 (3H, br s), 2.47 (3H, br s)
Ar-OH	5.29 (1H, br s), 5.25 (1H, br s)	5.12 (2H, br s)	5.19 (1H, br s), 5.23 (1H, br s)
NH	7.95 (1H, br s), 7.61 (1H, br s)	7.50 (2H, br s)	7.98 (1H, br s), 7.55 (1H, br s)
3-CH ₃	1.46 (3H, s), 1.40 (3H, s)	1.39 (6H, s)	1.47 (3H, s), 1.40 (3H, s)
3-4'-methyl-3'-pentenyl	1.74–1.80 (2H, m), 1.69–1.73 (2H, m)	1.65–1.76 (4H, m)	1.76–1.79 (2H, m), 1.70–1.75 (2H, m)
	2.09–2.22 (4H, m)	2.08–2.13 (4H, m)	2.16–2.20 (2H, m), 2.11–2.14 (2H, m)
	5.11 (1H, m), 5.08 (1H,m)	5.06 (2H, m)	5.12 (1H, m), 5.07 (1H, m)
	1.58 (3H, br s), 1.56 (3H, br s)	1.55 (6H, br s)	1.59 (3H, br s), 1.55 (3H, br s)
	1.66 (3H, br s), 1.64 (3H, br s)	1.63 (6H, br s)	1.67 (3H, br s), 1.64 (3H, br s)

DPPH radical scavenging activity (%) =

[concentation of DPPH radical without samples – concentration of DPPH radical containing samples (μ M) ÷ concentration of DPPH radical without samples] × 100

The IC₅₀ at each reaction time was defined as the concentration of compound that scavenged 50% concentration of DPPH radical against the concentration of DPPH radical of control at each reaction time. The IC₅₀ at each reaction time was calculated graphically.

Statistical Analysis. We used a factorial analysis of variance (ANOVA) to test for overall differences among the compounds. Significances of individual differences were evaluated by using the Scheffé test, when ANOVA was significant. Significance was declared at P < 0.05. ANOVA and linear regression were performed by utilizing the Stat View program package (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Isolation and Structure Analysis of Compounds 6–12. The CH_2Cl_2 extract was subjected to repeated column chromatography using silica gel, Sephadex LH-20, and ODS to give a new dimeric carbazole alkaloid 12 and six known carbazole alkaloids 6–11.

The UV spectra of isolated compounds 6-12 revealed a typical absorption of a carbazole skeleton (4).

Compound **6** had the molecular formula $C_{19}H_{19}NO_2$ on the basis of its EIMS and ¹³C NMR spectra. The pyranocarbazole skeleton was deduced from its MS spectrum at a high-intensity peak of *m*/*z* 278, which was typical of the carbazolepyrilium ion (4). Compound **6** was identical with koenimbine isolated from the fruits (*16*) and leaves (*17*) of *M. koenigii* Spreng in 1968 and 1969, respectively, comparing the ¹H NMR spectra. Because ¹³C NMR data of compound **6** have not been reported so far, the complete assignment of carbon signals of compound **6** was shown in the extraction and isolation section on the basis of ¹³C NMR, HMQC, and HMBC measurements.

Compound **7** had the same molecular formula, $C_{19}H_{19}NO_2$, as compound **6** on the basis of its EIMS spectrum and showed ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra similar to those of compound **6**. The HMQC and HMBC measurements gave evidence for 5-methyland 9-methoxycarbazole skeletons. The assignment was also supported by nuclear Overhauser and exchange spectroscopy (NOESY) experiments. On the basis of the above data, compound **7** is identical with *O*-methylmurrayamine A, which was synthesized from murrayamine A by Wu (*18*). However, this is the first report of the isolation of this compound from a natural source. The complete assignment of carbon signals of compound 7 was shown in the extraction and isolation section; ¹³C NMR data of *O*-methylmurrayamine A have not been reported.

Compound 8 had the molecular formula $C_{24}H_{27}NO_2$ as established by EIMS and ¹³C NMR spectra. The pyranocarbazole skeleton was deduced on the basis of the presence of a high-intensity peak at m/z 278 in the EIMS spectrum. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of compound 8 were similar to those of compound 7 except the signals at $\delta 1.58$ (3H, br s), 1.66 (3H, br s), 1.72-1.78 (2H, m), 2.11-2.20 (2H, m), and 5.11 (1H, m) due to the 4-methyl-3-pentenyl group. The HMQC, HMBC, and NOESY measurements allowed the complete assignments of proton and carbon signals as well as determination of the location of substituents on the pyranocarbazole skelton. On the basis of the above evidence, compound 8 was O-methylmahanine. Anwer et al. (19) reported the synthesis of DL-Omethylmahanine, but no spectroscopic data were reported. Then the data of compound 8 are shown in the extraction and isolation section. This is the first report of the isolation of O-methylmahanine from a natural source.

Compound **9** was identical with isomahanine previously isolated from fruits of *M. koenigii* (20) by comparison of its ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra.

The EIMS spectrum of compound **10** gave the molecular formula $C_{46}H_{48}N_2O_4$. On the basis of comparison of the ¹H spectra, compound **10** was identical with bismahanine isolated from stem bark of *Murraya koenigii* by Ito et al. (*21*). Although Ito et al. have not reported the ¹³C NMR data of bismahanine, the assignment of carbon signals of compound **10** was shown in the extraction and isolation section.

The molecular formula of compound **11** was established as $C_{46}H_{48}N_2O_4$ by HRMS spectrometry. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra showed only half of the total signals for the protons and carbons available in its molecular formula, indicating that this compound had a completely symmetrical structure. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were similar to those of **9** except for the lack of H-10 (**Table 1**), which suggested that the structure of **11** was indeed a symmetrical dimer of **9** with the linkage occurring at C-10 and C-10'. Compound **11** was therefore identified as bispyrayafoline, which was also previously isolated from the leaves of *M. koenigii* by Onoda et al. (unpublished results). The spectroscopic data of compound **11** are shown in the extraction and isolation section.

Compound **12** had the same molecular formula, $C_{46}H_{48}N_2O_4$, as compounds **10** and **11** on the basis of its HRMS and ¹³C NMR spectra. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of compound **12** were similar to those of compound **10** (**Table 1**). The ¹H NMR spectrum indicated the presence of a mahanine (**3**) unit except

Comparison of Antioxidative Properties of Carbazoles from M. koenigii



Figure 2. Correlation for compound 12 from 2D NMR spectrum: (A) selected HMBC correlation for compound 12; (B) selected NOESY correlation for compound 12.

for the lack of H-8 in the molecule. In the ¹H NMR spectrum of 12, the ortho-coupled aromatic protons at δ 6.71 and 7.70 (J = 8.3 Hz) were observed. In the HMBC spectrum, cross-peaks occurred between the aromatic proton at δ 6.71 and 104.8 (C-11b') and 117.9 (C-6a'), suggesting that the aromatic proton was located at C-5'. The HMBC correlation between another ortho-coupled aromatic proton at δ 7.70 and the aromatic carbon at δ 136.0 (C-11a') and aromatic carbon atoms at 150.9 (C-4a') indicated that the aromatic proton at δ 7.70 was located at position C-6' (Figure 2A). Moreover, correlations between one of the aryl methyl protons (δ 2.47) and δ 121.4 (C-7'), 117.5 (C-8'), and 150.0 (C-9') observed in its HMBC spectrum indicated that the aryl methyl substituent was located at C-8' (Figure 2A). The assignment of the aromatic proton at δ 6.71 and the location of the benzylic methyl substituent could be further confirmed by the NOESY experiments as shown in Figure 2B. On the basis of the above evidence, another carbazole unit was isomahanine (9) except for the lack of H-10'. The HMBC spectrum showed that the aromatic proton of the mahanine unit at δ 7.88 (H-7) was correlated to C-10' (δ 104.1) of the isomahanine unit (Figure 2A). Further information on the linkage of C-8 and C-10' was obtained from NOESY correlations of H-7 to both NH and OH of the isomahanine unit (Figure 2B). From the above evidence, the structure of compound 12 was assigned as 8,10'-[3,3',11,11'-tetrahydro-9,9'dihydroxy-3,3',5,8'-tetramethyl-3,3'-bis(4-methyl-3-pentenyl)]bipyrano[3,2-a]carbazole.

Evaluation of OSI Ratio of Compounds 1––12. The 12 carbazole alkaloids isolated from the CH₂Cl₂ extract, together with α -tocopherol and BHT, were subjected to evaluation for OSI values. The ratios of OSI value against control measured at 110 °C are shown in **Figure 3**. Larger OSI ratios indicate a stronger antioxidant activity. The OSI value on the model oil without samples (control) showed a mean value of 1.48 h. The OSI ratios of model oil containing α -tocopherol and BHT were 6.01 and 3.82, respectively. The OSI ratios of compounds **1**, **3**, and **9–12** were significantly greater than that of BHT. In particular, the antioxidative activity of compound **3** was greater than that of α -tocopherol.

Among the monomeric carbazoles 1 and 3-9, compounds 1 (OSI ratio of 9.01), 3 (10.40), and 9 (8.81) had stronger antioxidant activities than compounds 4-8 (0.90, 1.21, 3.27, 1.76, and 2.06, respectively). Iwatsuki et al. (22) reported that the antioxidant activity required the presence of a free hydroxyl group on the carbazole skeleton in the oxidations of methyl linoleate in a homogeneous solution and soybean phosphati-



Figure 3. OSI ratios of carbazole alkaloids isolated from leaves of *M. koenigii* and α -tocopherol and BHT as standard antioxidants: OSI ratios are mean \pm standard deviation of three replicates at 110 °C; bars with different letters are significantly (*P* < 0.05) different by analysis of variance with multiple comparisons. Samples were added at 0.2 μ mol/g of model substrate oil.

dylcholine liposomes in aqueous dispersion, induced by free radicals at room temperature. Our result indicated that carbazole with free hydroxyl groups (compounds 1, 3, and 9) also showed antioxidant activity under thermal oxidation. It seemed that hydroxy substituents on the carbazole ring extend induction time and the alkyl or methoxy substituents on carbazole rings had no influence on the activity. Among the dimeric carbazoles, the OSI ratios of compounds 10 (9.84), 11 (7.06), and 12 (6.72) were significantly greater than that of compound 2 (3.91). The activity discrepancy might be explained by the fact that the hydroxy group of compound 2 is hindered by the ortho-substituent, whereas each hydroxy group of compounds 10-12 is located at the outer part of the carbazole molecule.

Evaluation of DPPH Radical Scavenging Activity of Compounds 1—12. DPPH radical scavenging activity was



Figure 4. Kinetic behavior of compounds 1–12 and α -tocopherol, BHT, and ascorbic acid as standard antioxidants (concentration = 100 μ M): (A) kinetic behavior of the fastest and faster reacting compounds; (B) kinetic behavior of the slow reacting compounds.

measured for compounds 1-12, together with α -tocopherol, BHT, and ascorbic acid as standard antioxidants. Recently, Brand-Williams et al. (14) reported that 20 compounds were reacted with the DPPH radical and classified to three possible reaction kinetic types on the basis of the time to reach a steady state of the radical scavenging reaction (23). Sánchez-Moreno et al. (24) reported a new parameter, antiradical efficiency, that was estimated on the basis of EC_{50} and time to steady state. To our knowledge, there are no reports about kinetic behavior of carbazoles. Therefore, 12 carbazoles were classified on the basis of time to reach a steady state. The kinetic behaviors of the samples at 100 μ M against reaction time are plotted in Figure 4. Ascorbic acid showed the fastest behavior and reached the plateau stage within 1 min. The faster behavior group included compounds 1-3 and 9-12 and α -tocopherol, showing the plateau stage reached after 30 min. BHT showed an intermediate behavior of 7 h. Compounds 4, 6, and 7 showed slow kinetic behaviors and reached steady state after 24 h. The kinetic behaviors of compounds 5 and 8 were very slow, requiring 72 h to reach the steady state. The remaining DPPH radicals were >80% at the steady state in the cases of compounds 4, 6, and 7, but those of other samples were <20%. Therefore, the reaction rate of carbazole with free hydroxyl groups may be considered to be faster than that of carbazoles without free hydroxyl groups. The radical scavenging activity of compound 11 rose with increasing concentration (Figure 5). There were no differences of IC₅₀ after 0.5, 1, 2, 5, 7, and 24 h. Compounds 1-3 and 9-12 and α -tocopherol, which are in the faster reaction group, showed similar behavior. Table 2 shows the IC₅₀ values after 30 min of scavenging activity of the compounds belonging to the faster group compared to that of control. The scavenging activity for these compounds was in the order 10, 11, 1, 2 > 3> 9, 12. There was no significant difference between α -tocopherol and these carbazoles. The dimeric carbazoles showed a tendency to raise DPPH radical scavenging activity in comparison with the monomeric carbazole alkaloids except for compound 12. The hydroxyl-substituted carbazoles that belong to the faster group showed strong and rapid scavenging activities against the DPPH radical. Consequently, these hydroxylated carbazoles also consistently play an important role in expressing strong activity on the measurement of OSI. Meragelman et al. (25) reported that hydroxyl-substituted carbazole alkaloids from Murraya siamensis showed anti-HIV activity, whereas carbazole



Figure 5. DPPH radical scavenging activity of compound 11 against 100 μ M DPPH radical at several reaction times.

Table 2.	DPPH	Radica	I Scaver	nging	Activities	of	Carbazoles	and
α-Tocop	herol Be	elong ta	Faster	Grou	q			

compound	IC_{50} of DPPH radical scavenging activities ^a (μ M)
1	14.85 ± 0.77^{a}
2	14.97 ± 0.76^{a}
3	$16.65 \pm 3.05^{\rm ac}$
9	24.00 ± 7.37^{bc}
10	13.30 ± 0.96^{a}
11	13.85 ± 5.45^{a}
12	27.58 ± 10.69^{b}
α -tocopherol	20.25 ± 1.94^{abc}

 a IC₅₀ values are mean \pm standard deviation of three replicates. Values with different letters are significantly (P < 0.05) different by analysis of variance with multiple comparisons.

without a hydroxyl substituent was inactive. Chowdhury et al. (10) reported antimicrobial activities of 6,7-dimethoxy-1-hydroxy-3-methylcarbazole and 1-formyl-3-methoxy-6-methylcarbazole. The hydroxyl-substituted carbazole was found to be highly active against both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria and fungi, but carbazole without a hydroxyl substituent showed a low activity against Gram-negative bacteria and fungi. The biological activity of hydroxyl-substituted carbazole is worthy of further study.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We are grateful to Tomomi Maekawa for measurement of the NMR spectra.

LITERATURE CITED

- Chevallier, A. *The Encyclopedia of Medicinal Plants*; Dorling Kindersley: London, U.K., 1996.
- (2) Adebajo, A. C.; Reisch, J. Minor furocoumarins of *Murraya koenigii*. Fitoterapia 2000, 71, 334–337.
- (3) Wong, K. C.; Chee, S. G. Volatile constituents of *Murraya koenigii* Spreng. flowers. J. Essent. Oil Res. 1996, 8, 545–547.
- (4) Chakraborty, D. P.; Roy, S. Carbazole alkaloids III. Forschr. Chem. Org. Naturst. 1991, 57, 71–152.
- (5) Husson, H.-P. Simple indole alkaloids including β-carbolines and carbazoles. In *The Aklaloids*; Brossi, A., Ed.; Academic Press: New York, 1985; Vol. 26, pp 1–51.
- (6) Chakraborty, D. P. Chemistry and biology of carbazole alkaloids. In *The Alkaloids*; Cordell, G. A., Ed.; Academic Press: New York, 1993; Vol. 44, pp 257–364.
- (7) Ito, C. Studies on medicinal resouces of Rutaceous plants and development to pharmaceutical chemistry. *Nat. Med.* 2000, 54, 117–122.
- (8) Wu, T.-S.; Chan, Y.-Y.; Liou, M.-J.; Lin, F.-W.; Shi, L.-S.; Chen, K.-T. Platelet aggregation inhibitor from *Murraya euchrestifolia*. *Phytother. Res.* **1998**, *12*, S80–S82.
- (9) Ramsewak, R. S.; Nair, M. G.; Strasburg, G. M.; DeWitt, D. L.; Nitiss, J. L. Biologically active carbazole alkaloids from *Murraya koenigii. J. Agric. Food Chem.* **1999**, *47*, 444–447.
- (10) Chowdhury, B. K.; Jha, S.; Bhattacharyya, P.; Mukherjee, J. Two new carbazole alkaloids from *Murraya koenigii*. *Indian J. Chem.* 2001, 40B, 490–494.
- (11) Nakatani, N.; Tachibana, Y.; Kikuzaki, H. Establishment of a model substrate oil for antioxidant activity assessment by Oil Stability Index method. J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc. 2001, 78, 19–23.
- (12) Tachibana, Y.; Kikuzaki, H.; Lajis, N. Hj.; Nakatani, N. Antioxidative activity of carbazoles from *Murraya koenigii* leaves. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2001, 49, 5589–5594.
- (13) Official Methods and Recommended Practices of the American Oil Chemists' Society; Firestone, D. Ed.; AOCS: Champaign, IL, 1992; Method Cd 12b-92.

- (14) Brand-Williams, W.; Cuvelier, M. E.; Berset, C. Use of a free radical method to evaluate antioxidant activity. *Lebensm.-Wiss. Technol.* **1995**, 28, 25–30.
- (15) Martin, T. S.; Kikuzaki, H.; Hisamoto, M.; Nakatani, N. Constituents of *Amomum tsao-ko* and their radical scavenging and antioxidant activities. J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc. 2000, 77, 667– 673.
- (16) Narasimhan, N. S.; Paradkar, M. V.; Chitguppi, V. P. Structures of mahanimbine and koenimbine. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1968**, *53*, 5501–5504.
- (17) Kureel, S. P.; Kapil, R. S.; Popli, S. P. New alkaloids from Murraya koenigii Spreng. Experientia 1969, 25, 790–791.
- (18) Wu, T.-S. Murrayamine-A, -B, -C and (+)-mahanine, carbazole alkaloids from *Murraya euchrestifolia*. *Phytochemistry* **1991**, *30*, 1048–1051.
- (19) Anwer, F.; Kapil, R. S.; Popli, S. P. Terpenoid alkaloids from *Murraya koenigii* Spreng. VII Synthesis of DL-O-methylmahanine and related carbazoles. *Experientia* **1972**, *28*, 769.
- (20) Reisch, J.; Goj, O.; Wickramasinghe, A.; Herath, H. M. T. B.; Henkel, G. Carbazole alkaloids from seeds of *Murraya koenigii*. *Phytochemistry* **1992**, *31*, 2877–2879.
- (21) Ito, C.; Thoyama, Y.; Omura, M.; Kajiura, I.; Furukawa, H. Alkaloidal constituents of *Murraya koenigii*. Isolation and structural elucidation of novel binary carbazolequinones and carbazole alkaloids. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1993**, *41*, 2096–2100.
- (22) Iwatsuki, M.; Niki, E.; Kato, S. Antioxidant activities of natural and synthetic carbazoles. *BioFactor* **1993**, *4*, 123–128.
- (23) Bondet, V.; Brand-Williams, W.; Berset, C. Kinetics and mechanisms of antioxidant activity using the DPPH free radical method. *Lebensm.-Wiss. Technol.* **1997**, *30*, 609–615.
- (24) Sanches-Moreno, C.; Larrauri, J. A.; Saure-Calixto, F. A procedure to mesure the antiradical efficiency of polyphenols. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* **1998**, *76*, 270–276.
- (25) Meragelman, K. M.; McKee, T. C.; Boyd, M. R. Siamenol, a new carbazole alkaloid from *Murraya siamensis*. J. Nat. Prod. 2000, 63, 427–428.

Received for review June 30, 2003. Revised manuscript received August 28, 2003. Accepted August 28, 2003. This work was supported by the Program for Promotion of Basic Research Activities for Innovative Biosciences (BRAIN) and Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research. N.H.L. thanks the Ministry of Science, Technology and the Environment of Malaysia for its support under IRPA Program 09-02-04-0067.

JF034700+